Pursuant to Local Rule 7-2(b), an opposing party's failure to file a timely response to any motion constitutes the party's consent to the granting of the motion. *U.S. v. Warren*, 601 F.2d 471, 474 (9th Cir. 1979). However, the district court is required to weigh several factors: "(1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases on their

28

25

26

27

1	merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic sanctions." <i>Ghazali v. Moran</i> , 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir.
2	1995) (citing Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1423 (9th Cir. 1986)).
3	Here, the defendant has failed to respond four separate times. Defendant did not respond to
4	(1) the notice of intent to settle (doc. #9), (2) plaintiff's email memorializing the terms of the
5	settlement (doc. #11-A), (3) the plaintiff's motion to enforce settlement (doc. #11), or (4) the motion
6	to convert settlement into a judgment. In light of these failures and weighing the factors identified
7	in Ghazali, the court grants the motion to enforce the settlement.
8	Accordingly,
9	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED, that plaintiff's motion to
10	convert settlement into judgment (doc. #15) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.
11	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED, that the clerk shall enter final
12	judgment in this matter and close the case.
13	DATED October 11, 2011.
14	
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 nan	
	ı 🤈

Case 2:10-cv-01375-JCM-GWF Document 16 Filed 10/11/11 Page 2 of 2